

- Sunday Aft - January 5, 2014

Welcome to The Common Game

More Players, More Play, Better Bridge!

[?](#) Explanation of report features

Download hands in PDF or PBN format (Right click and choose "Save Link As..." in Firefox or "Save Target As..." in IE)

Jump directly to board [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [8](#) [9](#) [10](#) [11](#) [12](#) [13](#) [14](#) [15](#) [16](#) [17](#) [18](#) [19](#) [20](#) [21](#) [22](#) [23](#) [24](#)

Field strength: Mean: 502
MP Geomean: 385 MP
(based on 32 players)

Show faces.

★ ★ ★ MASTERPOINT WINNERS ★ ★ ★

Partnership	Pct	Rank	MP
Billee Brooks - Carol Stollenwerck	59.52	1st OA	2.05
Fonse Ragland - Sally Lancaster	56.85	2nd OA	1.54
Joseph Anderson - Philippa Anderson	56.55	2nd OB	1.19
Jean Higgins - William Higgins	55.65	3rd OB	0.89
Jerr Boschee - William Olds	52.68	4th OB	0.67
Bruce Harbour - Penny Hawkins	52.38	3rd SA	0.51

EVENT>Unit Champ 1500 Prs |SESSION>Sunday Aft |SECTION> E N-S

 DATE>January 5, 2014 |SANCTION>L401176E | 01/05/2014 16:46

 DIR> John Pelletti |RATING>Unit Championship |MOVEMENT>MITCHELL

 AVE> 84.0 |TOP> 7 |MP LIMITS>1500/700/350 |CLUB>Bridge Academy Of North D

 PAIRS IN STRAT A=8/B=6/C=3

 -----| | Overall | Secti
 on | |Final |
 No Name | Name |Flt|Rnk-A|Rnk-B|Rnk-C|Rnk-A|Rnk-B|Rnk
 -C| Score | Pct |Awards |

 1 Jo Ann Truitt Ann Mouzon A . . . | . . .
 79.50 47.32
 2 Timothy Banks Ann Sims B . . . | . . .
 83.50 49.70
 3 Billee Brooks Carol Stollenwerck C 1 1 1 | 1 1 1
 100.00 59.52 2.05(OA)
 4 Jean Hoffman Jack Schober C . . . | . . .
 76.50 45.54
 5 Penny Hawkins Bruce Harbour B . . . | 3 . .
 88.00 52.38 0.51(SA)
 6 E J Nowak Billie Dalton A . . . | . . .
 82.00 48.81
 7 Judy Noble Kay Moran C . . . | . . .
 69.00 41.07
 8 Jean Higgins William Higgins B 4 3 . | 2 2 .
 93.50 55.65 0.89(OB)

 Totals
 672.00

EVENT>Unit Champ 1500 Prs |SESSION>Sunday Aft |SECTION> E E-W

 AVE> 84.0 |TOP> 7 |MP LIMITS>1500/700/350 |CLUB>Bridge Academy Of North D

 PAIRS IN STRAT A=8/B=5/C=2

 -----| | Overall | Secti
 on | |Final |
 No Name | Name |Flt|Rnk-A|Rnk-B|Rnk-C|Rnk-A|Rnk-B|Rnk
 -C| Score | Pct |Awards |

1	Richard Langland	Nancy Latner	A	
86.00	51.19										
2	David Luzzatto	Walid Khalid Hakim	B	
66.50	39.58										
3	Joseph Anderson	Philippa Anderson	C	3	2	2		2	1	1	
95.00	56.55	1.19(OB)									
4	Elda Kay Hampton	Donna Guthrie	B	
85.00	50.60										
5	William Olds	Jerr Boschee	B	5	4	.		3	2	.	
88.50	52.68	0.67(OB)									
6	Jane Kirby	Shay Ura	A	
77.00	45.83										
7	Sally Lancaster	Fonse Ragland	A	2	.	.		1	.	.	
95.50	56.85	1.54(OA)									
8	Barry Cooper	Lynn Cooper	C	
78.50	46.73										
			Totals								
672.00											

1 ♠J9754 Dlr: North
 ♥JT6 Vul: None
 ♦T3
 ♣J97

♠A32 ♠T8
 ♥Q52 ♥A9874
 ♦J5 ♦AQ96
 ♣AQ632 ♣KT

 ♠KQ6
 ♥K3
 ♦K8742
 ♣854

3 Double Dummy Makes
 13 13 NS: ♣2 ♦4 ♥2 ♠6 NT2
 11 EW: 5♣ 3♦ 5/4♥ 1♠ 4NT
 LoTT: 17 - 16 = +1
 Par: -450 5♥-W

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
170	7.00	0.00	0.00	2♥ E +2	♠6 E3-Brooks-Stollenw
erck vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie					
400	6.00	1.00	1.00	3N W	♠5 E7-Noble-Moran vs
E5-Olds-Boschee					
430	5.00	2.00	2.00	3N W +1	♠4 E2-Banks-Sims vs E
2-Luzzatto-Hakim					
450	2.00	5.00	5.00	4♥ E +1	♠K E1-Truitt-Mouzon v
s E1-Langland-Latner					
450	2.00	5.00	5.00	4♥ E +1	♠K E4-Hoffman-Schober
vs E6-Kirby-Ura					
450	2.00	5.00	5.00	4♥ E +1	♠K E5-Hawkins-Harbour
vs E8-Cooper-Cooper					
450	2.00	5.00	5.00	4♥ E +1	♠K E6-Nowak-Dalton vs
E3-Anderson-Anderson					
450	2.00	5.00	5.00	4♥ E +1	♠4 E8-Higgins-Higgins
vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland					



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	Pass	1♥	Dbl
2NT ¹	Pass	4♥	All pass

1. Jordan

The Bidding:

After East opens 1♥ and South doubles for takeout, West can use a Jordan 2NT bid to show an invitational or better ♥ raise. There is no need for a natural 2NT response. With a strong balanced hand lacking a ♥ fit, West would redouble.

With a of 6, East will accept the invitation to game. Since West's hand is unlimited, some partnerships may elect to cue bid in case partner has extra values and wishes to explore slam potential below game. Neither partner has the extra values or shape to push passed game. So the auction will end at 4♥.

The Play:

With ♥s breaking 3-2 and the ♥King onside, a simple lobbing finesse toward the ♥Queen will hold trump losers to 1. Since ♣s are 3-3, declarer can pitch their ♠ loser on a ♣ or pitch their 3♦ losers on ♣ winners after drawing trump.

Playing Versus GIB:

GIB, BBO's bridge playing robot, used a Jordan 2NT bid to invite game in ♥s over my takeout double. The East robot chose not to cue bid, jumping to 4♥, denying slam interest. I lead the ♠King. The robot declarer won the ♠Ace and led a low ♣, the North robot playing the Jack; declarer winning the King. Now the robot declarer cashed the ♥Ace, followed by a lobbing finesse towards the ♥Queen. With the ♥King doubleton onside, I was forced to win the King and could cash

a ♠, but now declarer can bring home the ♣ suit on a 4-2 break or a 3-3 break to discard their ♦ losers.

D	N Robot	W	N	E	S
1	♠ J9754		P	1♥	X
	♥ J106	2NT	P	4♥	P
	♦ 103		P	P	
	♣ J97				
W Robot		E Robot			
♠ A32		♠ 108			
♥ Q52		♥ A9874			
♦ J5		♦ AQ96			
♣ AQ632		♣ K10			
	S dloeb				
	♠ KQ6				
	♥ K3				
	♦ K8742				
	♣ 854				
		4♥ E	NS: 0	EW: 0	

Rewind
Previous
Next
Options
GIB

2

♠ 643 Dlr: East
 ♥ Q874 Vul: N-S
 ♦ A2
 ♣ 9642

♠ AJT9	♠ KQ875
♥ T	♥ K6
♦ KQ97	♦ 863
♣ AJ53	♣ KT7

♠ 2
 ♥ AJ9532
 ♦ JT54
 ♣ Q8

6 Double Dummy Makes

15 11 NS: 3♥ ♣3 ♦4 ♠2 NT4

8 EW: 4/3♣ 3♦ 5♠ ♥4 NT6

LoTT: 20 - 19 = +1

Par: -450 5♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
50		7.00	0.00	6♣ E -1	♥A E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
	420	5.50	1.50	4♣ E	♦J E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
	420	5.50	1.50	4♣ E	♦J E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
	450	2.00	5.00	5♣ E	♥A E7-Noble-Moran vs E5-Olds-Boschee
	450	2.00	5.00	4♣ E +1	♥A E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E1-Langland-Latner
	450	2.00	5.00	4♣ E +1	♥A E2-Banks-Sims vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
	450	2.00	5.00	4♣ E +1	♥A E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
	450	2.00	5.00	4♣ E +1	♦J E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E6-Kirby-Ura



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
		Pass	2♥
Dbl	3♥	4♠	All pass

The Bidding:

East's hand does not meet the for an opener. Yet with 2 quick tricks, 11 HCP and a 5-card ♠ suit, many will choose to open 1♠.

At most tables, South will open the auction with a Weak 2♥. West has the ideal shape for a takeout double. North can advance the preempt. North expects 4♠ to make. The last bid North should make is 4♥ which only serves to give East-West more incentive to bid their game. 3♥ has the advantage of making a 3♠ advance somewhat ambiguous as to whether it is competitive or invitational. 3♦ as a lead director, for partnerships playing , has potential upside as well. My preference would be a direct 3♥ because the lead directing sequence gives the opponents the opportunity to disambiguate the competitive ♠ raise from the invitational raise: an immediate 3♠ is invitational, a delayed 3♠ is competitive.

East's hand has improved with partner's takeout double. East's of 7 suggests bidding game. With the weak 2♥ bidder behind East, many will choose to downgrade their ♥King doubleton and choose an invitational sequence. If North responds 3♥, making a 3♠ advance ambiguous, I would bid 4♠ directly, not leaving it to partner to guess whether the direct 3♠ was competitive or invitational. If North did not want to reveal their ♥ fit and chose to pass, East-West pairs playing can use a direct jump to 3♠ to show invitational values and 5+ ♠s. West, with a Losing Trick Count of 6, will accept any invitation. If East chooses to jump to game rather than invite, West has no reason to look for slam opposite a passed hand.

The Play:

On the lead of the ♥Ace or a trump, declarer may go down in 4♠ if they misguess the ♣s and the ♦s. A lead of the ♦Jack helps declarer avoid a 2nd ♦ loser with a double finesses against the ♦Jack-Ten. If South led the Jack from Jack doubleton, declarer always has 2 ♦ losers.

Without a ♦ lead, declarer may attempt an end play. After trumps are drawn and ♥s are eliminated declarer can attack ♦s hoping to set up a ♦ winner for a ♣ discard or end play the opponents to lead a ♣ or give a ruff-sluff. If declarer leads a low ♦ from hand, North would typically duck, hoping partner has the ♦Jack and declarer misguesses on the second round of ♦s. Here with the potential of an end play, it is best to win the first round of ♦s if declarer plays an honor from dummy and hope partner has Jack-Ten fourth in ♦s.

Alternatively, the double finesse against the ♦Jack-Ten will yield two ♦ tricks when South had either the ♦Jack or Ten, and 3 tricks when South has both. The double finesse can be combined with the end play to maximize chances.

Related Articles for Advancing Players:

In shares tips to bid more precisely after your partnership doubles a bid.

McKenzie Meyers details which provides a way to raise partner's suit with an honor and without an honor in addition to providing lead directors and runouts.

3 ♠A652 Dir: South
 ♥A76 Vul: E-W
 ♦AK2
 ♣J75

 ♠Q7 ♠8
 ♥K92 ♥T843
 ♦QT963 ♦87
 ♣Q32 ♣AKT864

 ♠KJT943
 ♥QJ5
 ♦J54
 ♣9

16 Double Dummy Makes
 9 7 NS: 1♦ 4♠ 1NT ♣5 ♥6
 8 EW: 2♣ ♦5 ♥6 ♠3 NT3
 LoTT: 18 - 19 = -1
 Par: +420 4♠-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
480		7.00	0.00	4♠ S +2	♦6 E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E6-Kirby-Ura
450		5.50	1.50	4♠ S +1	♥2 E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
450		5.50	1.50	4♠ N +1	♣K E7-Noble-Moran vs E5-Olds-Boschee
420		2.00	5.00	4♠ S	♦T E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E1-Langland-Latner
420		2.00	5.00	4♠ S	♦T E2-Banks-Sims vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
420		2.00	5.00	4♠ S	♦T E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
420		2.00	5.00	4♠ S	♦6 E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
420		2.00	5.00	4♠ S	♦6 E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E3-Anderson-Anderson



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
Pass	4♠	All pass	2♠

The Bidding:

South will open a weak 2♠ and North will place the contract at 4♠. The colors are wrong for a 5♣ sacrifice. So 4♠ ends the auction.

The Play:

Declarer has 10 tricks: 6 ♠s + 2 ♥s + 2 ♦s. A , an end play, a doubleton ♦Queen or singleton ♥King is needed to make 11 tricks. On this hand there is not Squeeze because East can guard the 3rd round of ♥s. Of course, West must cover the ♥Queen or Jack to promote partner's Ten. Holding the ♥Nine, there is no downside to covering the ♥Queen. There is no risk of a finesse against partner's presumed Ten.

Playing Versus GIB:

GIB, BBO's bridge playing program, raised my weak 2♠ opening to game. The West robot made an aggressive lead of the ♦Ten, top of an interior sequence. The lead gives me my 11th tricks if I duck the lead to my Jack. I did not accept the gift and instead chose to eliminate ♣s and attempt an end play in ♥s. When the end play did not work, I settled for 10 tricks. 4♠ making 4.

<p>3</p> <p>D</p>	<p>N Robot</p> <p>♠ A652</p> <p>♥ A76</p> <p>♦ AK2</p> <p>♣ J75</p>	<p>W N E S</p> <p>P 4♠ P P</p> <p>P</p>
	<p>W Robot</p> <p>♠ Q7</p> <p>♥ K92</p> <p>♦ Q10963</p> <p>♣ Q32</p>	<p>E Robot</p> <p>♠ 8</p> <p>♥ 10843</p> <p>♦ 87</p> <p>♣ AK10864</p>
	<p>S dloeb</p> <p>♠ KJ10943</p> <p>♥ QJ5</p> <p>♦ J54</p> <p>♣ 9</p>	<p>4♠ S NS: 0 EW: 0</p>
<p>Rewind Previous Next Options GIB</p>		

4

♠AJ4
♥KJ832
♦T874
♣9

Dlr: West
Vul: Both

♠85 ♠QT9
♥QT975 ♥64
♦J52 ♦KQ3
♣863 ♣AK742

 ♠K7632
 ♥A
 ♦A96
 ♣QJT5

9 Double Dummy Makes

3 14 NS: 1♣ 2♦ 2♥ 3♠ 2NT

14 EW: ♣5 ♦5 ♥5 ♠4 NT5

LoTT: 14 - 16 = -2

Par: +140 3♠-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
300	6.50	0.50	2♥ E -3	♣Q	E1-Truitt-Mouzon v
s E8-Cooper-Cooper					
300	6.50	0.50	2♥ E -3	♣Q	E3-Brooks-Stollenw
erck vs E3-Anderson-Anderson					
170	5.00	2.00	2♠ S +2	♣3	E5-Hawkins-Harbour
vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland					
140	2.50	4.50	2♠ S +1	♥T	E2-Banks-Sims vs E
1-Langland-Latner					
140	2.50	4.50	2♠ S +1	♥7	E4-Hoffman-Schober
vs E5-Olds-Boschee					
140	2.50	4.50	2♠ S +1	♣8	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs
E2-Luzzatto-Hakim					
140	2.50	4.50	2♠ S +1	♣6	E8-Higgins-Higgins
vs E6-Kirby-Ura					
100	0.00	7.00	3♠ S -1	♣3	E7-Noble-Moran vs
E4-Hampton-Guthrie					



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
Pass	Pass	1NT	2♣ ¹
2♦ ²	Pass	2♥	All pass

1. ♣ + a major
2. transfer to ♥s

The Bidding:

East will open 1NT. Even if East-West are playing a 15-17 HCP range, East's hand, with a five card-suit headed by the Ace-King, a King-Queen combination, and nice ♠ texture, merits an upgrade.

South, with an opening hand, and 5-4 distribution, can compete over 1NT. Methods vary by partnership agreement. Playing , South will bid 2♣, showing ♣s and a major. Many partnerships plays system on over a 2♣ overcall which does not show the majors. If so, West can bid 2♦ as a to ♥s. Should West compete in ♥s? West does not expect 1NT to make. 2♥ has a better chance to make because there is the opportunity to establish and ♥ winners and their is transportation between the hands. So without competition, the transfer to 2♥ is clear. If the opponent's compete with a double, showing a one suited hand, transferring to ♥s is a good idea because of the danger of the double being converted for penalty. When the competition is a 2♣ overcall, West has the option of passing. Competing in ♥s wins when it makes or when it goes down 1 and the opponents can make 2♠ or 3♣, or when it pushes the opponents to compete too high. My preference would be to compete.

If West competes in ♥s, North can place partner with ♠s and ♣s. South will have at most 1♥. So 2♠ is likely to make with a known fit and each hand with shortness. However, defending 2♥ is tempting. A 200 point penalty is needed to beat the expected plus in 2♠. Most two suited overcall structures over 1NT use a double by advancer to ask partner's second suit. Here, I would pass looking for a 2 trick set.

The Play:

2♥ is a disaster for East-West. North-Sout can take 2 ♠ tricks + 3 ♥ tricks + 1 ♦ trick + 2 ♣ ruffs. 2♥ down 2.

If East-West compete in ♠s, 9 tricks are available. The defense will quickly take a ♣ and a ♦ trick. Declarer cannot avoid losing a trump trick and a ♣ trick.

Related Articles for Advancing Players:

In shares tips on handling interference over your 1NT opening bids.

Playing Versus GIB:

GIB, BBO's bridge playing program, chose top open East's hand 1♣. I overcalled 1♠ and my partner, the North robot, gave an invitational ♠

raise. With a of 6, I accepted the invitation and bid 4♣. After a ♣ lead, the East robot found the ♦ shift to defeat the contract.

D	4	N Robot	W	N	E	S
		♠ AJ4	P	P	1♣	1♠
		♥ KJ832	P	2♣	X	4♠
		♦ 10874	P	P	P	
		♣ 9				
W Robot		E Robot				
	♠ 85		♠ Q109			
	♥ Q10975		♥ 64			
	♦ J52		♦ KQ3			
	♣ 863		♣ AK742			
		S dloeb				
	♠ K7632					
	♥ A					
	♦ A96					
	♣ QJ105					
		4♣ S	NS: 0		EW: 0	

Rewind Previous Next Options GIB

5

♠74 ♥KQ94 ♦A43 ♣T765 ♠AKT9 ♥J87 ♦KQ865 ♣A	Dlr: North Vul: N-S ♠532 ♥A62 ♦T92 ♣QJ42 ♠QJ86 ♥T53 ♦J7 ♣K983
--	--

Double Dummy Makes

9 NS: 1♣ ♦3 ♥5 ♠4 NT4/3

17 7 EW: 3/4♦ 1/2♥ 2/3♠ 2/3NT

7 ♣6

LoTT: 17 - 16 = +1

Par: -400 3NT-E

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld	
	110	7.00	0.00	3♦ W	♣2	E1-Truitt-Mouzon v
s						E8-Cooper-Cooper
	130	6.00	1.00	3♦ W +1	♥K	E4-Hoffman-Schober
vs						E5-Olds-Boschee
	150	4.50	2.50	2N E +1	♣3	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs
E2-Luzzatto-Hakim						
	150	4.50	2.50	2N E +1	♣3	E7-Noble-Moran vs
E4-Hampton-Guthrie						
	180	2.50	4.50	1N E +3	♣Q	E5-Hawkins-Harbour
vs						E7-Lancaster-Ragland
	180	2.50	4.50	1N E +3	♣6	E2-Banks-Sims vs E
1-Langland-Latner						
	430	0.50	6.50	3N E +1	♣6	E8-Higgins-Higgins
vs						E6-Kirby-Ura
	430	0.50	6.50	3N E +1	♣6	E3-Brooks-Stollenw
erck vs						E3-Anderson-Anderson



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	Pass	Pass	Pass
1♦	Pass	1NT	Pass
2♠	Pass	2NT ¹	Pass
3♣ ²	Pass	3♦ ³	All pass

1. Lebensohl
2. accepting relay
3. ♦ support and 5-7 HCP

The Bidding:

West will open the auction 1♦ in 4th seat. East will respond 1NT, and West will reverse to 2♠ showing 4+ ♠s, 5+ ♦s, and 16+ HCP. With stoppers in the unbid suits and 3-card support for opener's ♦s, East will suggest 3NT as the final contract, ending the auction.

Partnerships playing lebensohl over reverses may choose to use the 2NT relay to show weak ♦ support, ending the auction at 3♦.

The Lead:

East's 1NT response to 1♦ and East's failure to support ♦s over the reverse suggests ♣ length. A ♥ lead is indicated.

The Play:

After a ♥ lead by West, declarer can try the Jack, hoping South under lead the King-Queen, or play low, hoping South has the Ten-Nine. Either way, North can cover the Jack or finesse against the Jack, hoping South has the Ten. 3 ♥ tricks are established for the defense. Now the defense has 5 tricks: 3 ♥s + 1 ♦ + 1♠.

Note that if West declares the notrump contract, North cannot attack ♥s effectively. Because the ♦Jack is doubleton and the ♠Queen-Jack are onside, West can make 3NT. Declarer can use their one entry to lead a ♠, forcing South to split their honors. Now a 3rd ♠ trick can be established on power.

Related Articles for Advancing Players:

shares tips on using

6 ♠A3 Dlr: East
 ♥K9642 Vul: E-W
 ♦T982
 ♣A2

♠KT9 ♠J7642
 ♥Q875 ♥JT
 ♦76 ♦AKJ43
 ♣K986 ♣3
 ♠Q85
 ♥A3
 ♦Q5
 ♣QJT754

Double Dummy Makes

11 NS: 2♣ 1♥ 1/-NT
 8 10 ♦5 ♠5 NT7/6
 11 EW: 1♠ ♣4 ♦6 ♥6 NT5

LoTT: 15 - 16 = -1
 Par: +90 1NT-N

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
110	7.00	0.00	3♣ S	♠9	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
100	6.00	1.00	2♦ E -1	♠Q	E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
PASS	5.00	2.00	Pass Out		E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
50	3.50	3.50	4♥ N -1	♦A	E2-Banks-Sims vs E1-Langland-Latner
50	3.50	3.50	3♣ S -1	♦7	E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E6-Kirby-Ura
100	1.50	5.50	2♥ N -2	♠A	E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E5-Olds-Boschee
100	1.50	5.50	4♣ S -2	♦7	E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
150	0.00	7.00	4♥ N -3	♦K	E7-Noble-Moran vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie

7 ♠JT9 Dlr: South
 ♥T73 Vul: Both
 ♦AJ5
 ♣QJ97

♠AQ8 ♠K64
 ♥AQJ8542 ♥K
 ♦97 ♦KQ863
 ♣5 ♣KT64
 ♠7532
 ♥96
 ♦T42
 ♣A832

Double Dummy Makes

9 NS: ♠5 ♦3 ♥2 ♣4 NT3
 13 14 EW: 2♣ 4♦ 5♥ 3♠ 4NT
 4

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0
 Par: -650 5♥EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
650	5.00	2.00	4♥ W +1	♠J	E2-Banks-Sims vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
650	5.00	2.00	4♥ W +1	♠Q	E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
650	5.00	2.00	4♥ W +1	♠Q	E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
650	5.00	2.00	4♥ W +1	♠Q	E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E6-Kirby-Ura
650	5.00	2.00	4♥ W +1	♠Q	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E1-Langland-Latner
660	1.50	5.50	3N E +2	♠2	E7-Noble-Moran vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
660	1.50	5.50	3N E +2	♠2	E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E5-Olds-Boschee
680	0.00	7.00	4♥ W +2	♠J	E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie

8

♠T86 Dlr: West
 ♥T85 Vul: None
 ♦J765
 ♣KJ3

♠J95 ♠AQ2
 ♥KJ9 ♥6432
 ♦Q32 ♦8
 ♣T984 ♣AQ765
 ♠K743
 ♥AQ7
 ♦AKT94
 ♣2

5 Double Dummy Makes

7 12 NS: 1♦ ♣3 ♥3 ♠5 NT4
 16 EW: 4♣ 3♥ 2/1♠ 2NT ♦6
 LoTT: 17 - 18 = -1
 Par: -140 3♥-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
90	6.50	0.50	2♦ S	♣T	E7-Noble-Moran vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
90	6.50	0.50	2♦ S	♣T	E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E5-Olds-Boschee
70	5.00	2.00	1♦ S	♣T	E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E6-Kirby-Ura
50	4.00	3.00	4♦ N -1	♦A	E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
100	1.50	5.50	3♦ S -2	♣T	E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
100	1.50	5.50	3♦ S -2	♣T	E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
100	1.50	5.50	3♦ S -2	♣4	E2-Banks-Sims vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
100	1.50	5.50	2♦ N -2	♦8	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E1-Langland-Latner

9

♠96 Dlr: North
 ♥T7654 Vul: E-W
 ♦A976
 ♣Q6

♠AQ84 ♠J732
 ♥93 ♥KJ82
 ♦T2 ♦83
 ♣A9543 ♣K87
 ♠KT5
 ♥AQ
 ♦KQJ54
 ♣JT2

6 Double Dummy Makes

10 8 NS: 3♦ 1♥ 1NT ♠5 ♠6
 16 EW: 1♣ 1♠ ♦4 ♥6 NT5
 LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1
 Par: +110 3♦-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
140	7.00	0.00	2♥ S +1	♣4	E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
110	6.00	1.00	2♥ S	♦T	E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E6-Kirby-Ura
50	3.00	4.00	3♥ N -1	♣7	E7-Noble-Moran vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
50	3.00	4.00	2♥ S -1	♥9	E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
50	3.00	4.00	2♥ S -1	♥9	E2-Banks-Sims vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
50	3.00	4.00	2♥ S -1	♥3	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E1-Langland-Latner
50	3.00	4.00	2♥ S -1	♣A	E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E5-Olds-Boschee
100	0.00	7.00	2♥ S -2	♦T	E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie

10

♠A3
♥A72
♦A9863
♣A62

Dlr: East
Vul: Both

♠T764 ♠KQJ52
♥QT4 ♥983
♦Q52 ♦J74
♣843 ♣KT

♠98
♥KJ65
♦KT
♣QJ975

16 Double Dummy Makes

4 10 NS: 5♣ 4♦ 4♥ 1♠ 2NT

10 EW: ♣2 ♦3 ♥3 ♠6 NT4

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: +620 4♥-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
600		6.00	1.00	3N N	♠K E1-Truitt-Mouzon v s E6-Kirby-Ura
600		6.00	1.00	3N N	♠K E2-Banks-Sims vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
600		6.00	1.00	3N N	♠K E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
200		4.00	3.00	2♠ E -2	♦K E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E1-Langland-Latner
150		3.00	4.00	3♣ S +2	♣4 E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
130		2.00	5.00	3♦ N +1	♠K E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
100	0.50	6.50		2N N -1	♠K E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E5-Olds-Boschee
100	0.50	6.50		1N N -1	♠K E7-Noble-Moran vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim

11

♠75
♥AK54
♦JT9762
♣J

Dlr: South
Vul: None

♠J8632 ♠QT94
♥T6 ♥QJ8
♦4 ♦AKQ53
♣AT862 ♣Q

♠AK
♥9732
♦8
♣K97543

9 Double Dummy Makes

5 16 NS: 1♦ 2/1♥ ♠6 ♠3 NT5

10 EW: 3♠ 2NT ♣6 ♦6 ♥5

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: -140 3♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
50		7.00	0.00	4♠ W -1	♥A E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
50		6.00	1.00	2♦ N -1	♦3 E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
140		5.00	2.00	3♠ E	♦8 E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E5-Olds-Boschee
170		4.00	3.00	2♠ W +2	♣J E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E1-Langland-Latner
300		2.50	4.50	4♥* S -2	♣A E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
300		2.50	4.50	4♥* N -2	♦A E2-Banks-Sims vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
420	1.00	6.00		4♠ W	♣J E1-Truitt-Mouzon v s E6-Kirby-Ura
570	0.00	7.00		2♠* W +1	♣J E7-Noble-Moran vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim

12 ♠A4 Dlr: West
♥AQ8764 Vul: N-S
♦K2
♣A86

♠9763 ♠J2
♥K9 ♥T32
♦J9853 ♦QT74
♣T3 ♣QJ54
♠KQT85
♥J5
♦A6
♣K972

17 Double Dummy Makes

4 6 NS: 6♣ 2♦ 6♥ 7♠ 6NT

13 EW: ♠1 ♦5 ♥1 ♠0 NT1

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: +2210 7♠-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
1460		7.00	0.00	6♥ N +1	♣Q E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
1430		5.50	1.50	6♥ N	♥2 E7-Noble-Moran vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
1430		5.50	1.50	6♥ N	♣Q E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E1-Langland-Latner
710		3.00	4.00	4♥ N +3	♦4 E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E5-Olds-Boschee
710		3.00	4.00	4♥ N +3	♣Q E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E6-Kirby-Ura
710		3.00	4.00	4♥ N +3	♣Q E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
680		0.50	6.50	4♥ N +2	♠J E2-Banks-Sims vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
680		0.50	6.50	4♥ N +2	♠J E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie

13 ♠- Dlr: North
♥QT32 Vul: Both
♦Q7542
♣Q864

♠AK87643 ♠2
♥J854 ♥AK
♦T ♦KJ96
♣3 ♣AKT752
♠QJT95
♥976
♦A83
♣J9

6 Double Dummy Makes

8 18 NS: ♣4 ♦6 ♥5 ♠2 NT5

8 EW: 3♣ 1♦ 2♥ 4♠ 2NT

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0

Par: -620 4♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
500		6.50	0.50	6N E -5	♠Q E2-Banks-Sims vs E6-Kirby-Ura
500		6.50	0.50	5♠* W -2	♥2 E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
200		5.00	2.00	4♠* W -1	♥3 E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
100		2.50	4.50	3N W -1	♦4 E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
100		2.50	4.50	5♠ W -1	♥3 E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
100		2.50	4.50	4♠ W -1	♥2 E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E5-Olds-Boschee
100		2.50	4.50	4♠ W -1	♦4 E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
620		0.00	7.00	4♠ W	♥2 E7-Noble-Moran vs E1-Langland-Latner

14 ♠A2 Dlr: East
 ♥J Vul: None
 ♦AJ9542
 ♣KQT9
 ♠QJ953 ♠K764
 ♥KT87 ♥A6
 ♦QT8 ♦K76
 ♣J ♣A854
 ♠T8
 ♥Q95432
 ♦3
 ♣7632

15 Double Dummy Makes
 9 14 NS: 1♣ 1♦ 1♥ ♠3 NT5
 2 EW: 3♠ 2NT ♣4 ♦6 ♥6
 LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1
 Par: -140 3♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	200	7.00	0.00	3♠ W +2	♣K E4-Hoffman-Schober
vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim					
	420	6.00	1.00	4♠ W	♣K E1-Truitt-Mouzon v
s E5-Olds-Boschee					
	450	3.50	3.50	4♠ W +1	♥J E6-Nowak-Dalton vs
E7-Lancaster-Ragland					
	450	3.50	3.50	4♠ W +1	♥J E7-Noble-Moran vs
E1-Langland-Latner					
	450	3.50	3.50	4♠ W +1	♣K E2-Banks-Sims vs E
6-Kirby-Ura					
	450	3.50	3.50	4♠ W +1	♣K E8-Higgins-Higgins
vs E3-Anderson-Anderson					
	690	1.00	6.00	4♠* W +1	♥J E5-Hawkins-Harbour
vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie					
	800	0.00	7.00	5♥* S -4	♣J E3-Brooks-Stollenw
erck vs E8-Cooper-Cooper					

15 ♠Q93 Dlr: South
 ♥AQ985 Vul: N-S
 ♦K9
 ♣653
 ♠854 ♠KT76
 ♥K632 ♥J4
 ♦AQ87 ♦62
 ♣AJ ♣KQ872
 ♠AJ2
 ♥T7
 ♦J7543
 ♣T94

11 Double Dummy Makes
 14 9 NS: 1♥ ♣5 ♦6 ♠5 NT5
 6 EW: 2♣ 2♠ 1NT ♦6 ♥6
 LoTT: 15 - 14 = +1
 Par: -110 2♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	200	7.00	0.00	3N W -4	♥8 E3-Brooks-Stollenw
erck vs E8-Cooper-Cooper					
	50	5.00	2.00	3N W -1	♥9 E6-Nowak-Dalton vs
E7-Lancaster-Ragland					
	50	5.00	2.00	2♠ E -1	♥T E5-Hawkins-Harbour
vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie					
	50	5.00	2.00	2♠ E -1	♦8 E4-Hoffman-Schober
vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim					
	110	3.00	4.00	2♠ W	♣3 E7-Noble-Moran vs
E1-Langland-Latner					
	120	1.50	5.50	1N W +1	♥8 E8-Higgins-Higgins
vs E3-Anderson-Anderson					
	120	1.50	5.50	1N W +1	♥5 E2-Banks-Sims vs E
6-Kirby-Ura					
	150	0.00	7.00	1N W +2	♥8 E1-Truitt-Mouzon v
s E5-Olds-Boschee					

16 ♠J98 Dlr: West
 ♥AK5 Vul: E-W
 ♦Q743
 ♣KJ9
 ♠Q42 ♠T6
 ♥QT7 ♥986
 ♦KT86 ♦A9
 ♣T54 ♣AQ8762
 ♠AK753
 ♥J432
 ♦J52
 ♣3

14 Double Dummy Makes
 7 10 NS: 1♦ 2♥ 2♠ ♣5 NT6
 9 EW: 2♣ ♦6 ♥5 ♠5 NT6
 LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1
 Par: +110 2♠-NS/2♥-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	50	6.00	1.00	3♠ S -1	♣4 E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
	50	6.00	1.00	3♠ S -1	♣3 E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
	50	6.00	1.00	3♠ N -1	♣5 E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E1-Langland-Latner
	100	3.50	3.50	4♠ S -2	♣T E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
	100	3.50	3.50	4♥ N -2	♦A E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
	150	1.50	5.50	4♠ S -3	♣5 E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E6-Kirby-Ura
	150	1.50	5.50	3♥ S -3	♣J E2-Banks-Sims vs E5-Olds-Boschee
	200	0.00	7.00	3N N -4	♣7 E7-Noble-Moran vs E8-Cooper-Cooper

17 ♠K5 Dlr: North
 ♥A765 Vul: None
 ♦Q832
 ♣J97
 ♠A43 ♠972
 ♥T983 ♥J2
 ♦T65 ♦AJ7
 ♣T42 ♣KQ653
 ♠QJT86
 ♥KQ4
 ♦K94
 ♣A8

10 Double Dummy Makes
 4 11 NS: 2♣ 3♦ 3♥ 4♠ 3NT
 15 EW: ♣5 ♦4 ♥4 ♠3 NT3
 LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0
 Par: +420 4♠-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
400		5.50	1.50	3N S	♥T E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
400		5.50	1.50	3N S	♣T E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
400		5.50	1.50	3N S	♣4 E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E6-Kirby-Ura
400		5.50	1.50	3N N	♣K E2-Banks-Sims vs E5-Olds-Boschee
170		3.00	4.00	2♠ S +2	♣2 E7-Noble-Moran vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
150		2.00	5.00	1N N +2	♣5 E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
100	1.00	6.00	3N S -2	♣4 E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E1-Langland-Latner	
150	0.00	7.00	3N S -3	♣T E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E3-Anderson-Anderson	

18 ♠K7 Dlr: East
 ♥QJ843 Vul: N-S
 ♦T
 ♣AJT73

♠AJ543 ♠T9862
 ♥97 ♥AT6
 ♦3 ♦A985
 ♣Q9652 ♣8
 ♠Q
 ♥K52
 ♦KQJ7642
 ♣K4

11 Double Dummy Makes

7 8 NS: 2♣ 3♦ 3♥ 1NT ♠3

14 EW: 3♠ ♣4 ♦3 ♥4/3 NT4

LoTT: 18 - 18 = 0

Par: -140 3♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
130	6.50	0.50	4♦ S	♠A	E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
130	6.50	0.50	3♦ S +1	♦3	E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
50	5.00	2.00	5♠ W -1	♦T	E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E1-Langland-Latner
100	4.00	3.00	4♥ N -1	♣8	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E6-Kirby-Ura
200	2.00	5.00	5♥* N -1	♠T	E2-Banks-Sims vs E5-Olds-Boschee
200	2.00	5.00	5♦* S -1	♠A	E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
200	2.00	5.00	5♦* S -1	♠A	E7-Noble-Moran vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
420	0.00	7.00	4♠ W	♠A	E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland

19 ♠AKQJ Dlr: South
 ♥QJT93 Vul: E-W
 ♦-
 ♣K642

♠53 ♠92
 ♥A8 ♥7654
 ♦AQJ863 ♦KT52
 ♣QJ8 ♣T93
 ♠T8764
 ♥K2
 ♦974
 ♣A75

16 Double Dummy Makes

14 3 NS: 3♣ 3♥ 6♠ ♦5 NT6

7 EW: 2♦ ♣3 ♥2 ♠1 NT6

LoTT: 20 - 19 = +1

Par: +980 6♠-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
480	5.50	1.50	4♠ S +2	♥A	E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E6-Kirby-Ura
480	5.50	1.50	4♠ S +2	♦A	E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
480	5.50	1.50	4♠ S +2	♣Q	E2-Banks-Sims vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
480	5.50	1.50	4♠ N +2	♦K	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E5-Olds-Boschee
230	2.00	5.00	3♠ S +3	♠5	E7-Noble-Moran vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
230	2.00	5.00	3♠ S +3	♥A	E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E1-Langland-Latner
230	2.00	5.00	3♠ S +3	♣Q	E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
200	0.00	7.00	4♦ W -2	♠A	E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E3-Anderson-Anderson

20

♠K9
♥KJ6
♦QJT84
♣Q53

Dlr: West
Vul: Both

♠J84 ♠752
♥8532 ♥AQT4
♦AK963 ♦2
♣6 ♣AJT92

♠AQT63
♥97
♦75
♣K874

Double Dummy Makes

12 NS: -1NT
8 11 ♣6 ♦5 ♥3 ♠6 NT6/7
9 EW: 1♣ 1♦ 3♥ ♠6 NT6

LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0
Par: -140 3♥-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
110	7.00	0.00	0.00	2♠ S	♥2 E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
90	6.00	1.00	1.00	1N N	♣J E2-Banks-Sims vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
100	5.00	2.00	2.00	2♠ S -1	♣6 E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E1-Langland-Latner
200	3.00	4.00	4.00	3♠ S -2	♣6 E7-Noble-Moran vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
200	3.00	4.00	4.00	2♠ S -2	♦A E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
200	3.00	4.00	4.00	2♦ N -2	♣J E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
300	0.50	6.50	3N N -3	♠2	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E5-Olds-Boschee
300	0.50	6.50	3♠ S -3	♣6	E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E6-Kirby-Ura

21

♠5432
♥AKJ2
♦KT63
♣4

Dlr: North
Vul: N-S

♠KJ86 ♠AT9
♥83 ♥Q7
♦A42 ♦87
♣QT93 ♣AK8765

♠Q7
♥T9654
♦QJ95
♣J2

Double Dummy Makes

11 NS: 3♦ 3♥ ♣2 ♠2 NT2
10 13 EW: 5♣ 5♠ 2NT ♦4♥4
6

LoTT: 20 - 19 = +1
Par: -450 5♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100	7.00	0.00	0.00	4♠ W -2	♥A E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim
50	6.00	1.00	1.00	3N E -1	♥6 E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E1-Langland-Latner
100	5.00	2.00	4♦ N -1	♣K E2-Banks-Sims vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie	
130	4.00	3.00	3♣ E +1	♦Q E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E6-Kirby-Ura	
150	2.50	4.50	4♣ E +1	♥T E7-Noble-Moran vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland	
150	2.50	4.50	4♣ E +1	♦Q E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E3-Anderson-Anderson	
170	1.00	6.00	3♣ E +3	♠Q E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E5-Olds-Boschee	
400	0.00	7.00	5♣ E	♦Q E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E8-Cooper-Cooper	

22 ♠76542 Dlr: East
♥98 Vul: E-W
♦65
♣K432

♠98 ♠AT
♥AK ♥QJT72
♦73 ♦AQT4
♣AQT8765 ♣J9
♠KQJ3
♥6543
♦KJ982
♣-

Double Dummy Makes
3 NS: 2/1♠ ♣1 ♦5 ♥3 NT1
13 14 EW: 4♣ 2/1♦ 3♥ 2NT
10 ♠5

LoTT: 18 - 18 = 0
Par: -130 4♣-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200	6.50	0.50	3N E -2	♠K	E7-Noble-Moran vs E6-Kirby-Ura
200	6.50	0.50	6♣ W -2	♦6	E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E1-Langland-Latner
100	4.00	3.00	3N E -1	♠K	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
100	4.00	3.00	3N E -1	♠K	E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
100	4.00	3.00	3N E -1	♦6	E2-Banks-Sims vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
600	1.50	5.50	3N E	♠K	E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E5-Olds-Boschee
600	1.50	5.50	3N E	♦3	E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
620	0.00	7.00	5♣ W +1	♠7	E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim

23 ♠KT8732 Dlr: South
♥Q Vul: Both
♦T732
♣62

♠QJ965 ♠-
♥54 ♥KT963
♦AKJ ♦Q86
♣A53 ♣QT987
♠A4
♥AJ872
♦954
♣KJ4

Double Dummy Makes
5 NS: 1♠ ♣4 ♦5 ♥5 NT5
15 7 EW: 3♣ 1♦ 2♥ 2NT ♠6
13 EW: 3♣ 1♦ 2♥ 2NT ♠6

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0
Par: -120 2NT-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
500	7.00	0.00	1♠* W -2	♥Q	E3-Brooks-Stollenwerck vs E5-Olds-Boschee
300	6.00	1.00	2N E -3	♥8	E6-Nowak-Dalton vs E4-Hampton-Guthrie
200	5.00	2.00	1♠ W -2	♥Q	E7-Noble-Moran vs E6-Kirby-Ura
100	3.00	4.00	1♠ W -1	♥Q	E2-Banks-Sims vs E3-Anderson-Anderson
100	3.00	4.00	1♠ W -1	♥Q	E5-Hawkins-Harbour vs E1-Langland-Latner
100	3.00	4.00	3♣ E -1	♦4	E8-Higgins-Higgins vs E8-Cooper-Cooper
120	1.00	6.00	2N E	♥8	E4-Hoffman-Schober vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland
500	0.00	7.00	1♥* S -2	♦A	E1-Truitt-Mouzon vs E2-Luzzatto-Hakim

24 ♠AQ9842 Dlr: West
 ♥J6 Vul: None
 ♦9
 ♣8432

♠65 ♠KJ
 ♥AKT753 ♥Q94
 ♦AKJ6 ♦T872
 ♣K ♣J975
 ♠T73
 ♥82
 ♦Q543
 ♣AQT6

7 Double Dummy Makes

18 7 NS: 1♣ 2♠ ♦3 ♥2 NT3

8 EW: 4♦ 5♥ 3NT ♣5 ♠5

LoTT: 19 - 18 = +1

Par: -450 5♥-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	100	7.00	0.00	3♠ N -2	♥4 E1-Truitt-Mouzon v
s E2-Luzzatto-Hakim					
	420	6.00	1.00	4♥ W	♠A E8-Higgins-Higgins
vs E8-Cooper-Cooper					
	450	3.50	3.50	4♠ W +1	♦9 E7-Noble-Moran vs
E6-Kirby-Ura					
	450	3.50	3.50	5♥ W	♠A E2-Banks-Sims vs E
3-Anderson-Anderson					
	450	3.50	3.50	4♥ W +1	♠A E5-Hawkins-Harbour
vs E1-Langland-Latner					
	450	3.50	3.50	4♥ W +1	♦9 E3-Brooks-Stollenw
erck vs E5-Olds-Boschee					
	480	0.50	6.50	5♥ W +1	♦9 E4-Hoffman-Schober
vs E7-Lancaster-Ragland					
	480	0.50	6.50	4♥ W +2	♠A E6-Nowak-Dalton vs
E4-Hampton-Guthrie					